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## Goal

- Assessment of the impact of normalisation and estimation methods on the significance of microbiome on heat stress


## Data \& Methods

## Microbiome data

- 16S rRNA gene $\rightarrow$ v3 \& v4 regions $\rightarrow$ faecal samples $\rightarrow 136$ Holstein-Friesian cows
- Quantitative outcome $\rightarrow$ rectal temperature
- Analysis on genus level


## Normalisation

- Trimmed Mean of $M$ values (TMM)
- Relative Log Expression (RLE)
- Quantile-based (quantile)
- Variance stabilizing (VSN)
- Counts per million (CPM)


## Effect estimation and testing

- Negative binomial regression $\rightarrow$ implemented via edgeR
- Linear Gaussian regression $\rightarrow$ implemented in via $R$ Im function


## Results



## Conclusions

- Unfortunately $\rightarrow$ Different results $\rightarrow$ different analytical approaches
- Fortunately $\rightarrow$ some overlap
- $\approx 50 \%$ of significant genera common to all analytical constellations
- Negative binomial model (edge R) more robust than linear model

