

Magdalena Fraszczak - current project

Biostatistics Group, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences



Current project

Inheritance analysis of copy number variation polymorphisms in swine genome



Current project


Inheritance analysis of copy number variation polymorphisms in swine genome

Internal project - financed by UPWr.

co-authors: Magda Mielczarek, Błażej Nowak, Joanna Szyda

Material

- Whole genome sequence with Illumina HiSeq2000
- 12 swines (6 trios) Polish Large White breed:



Objectives

- to analyse of CNV inheritance in full and half siblings
- to determine the frequency of CNVs formed *de novo* in the genomes of the offspring
- to describe the distribution of CNVs
- to describe the density of SNPs along the genome



Methods


Bioinformatics pipeline

- Alignment to Sscrofa11.1 (BWA-MEM)
- Post alignment filtering (Picard & Samtools)
- CNV detection (CNVnator, Pindel)
- Functional annotation (VeP)


Statistical analysis

- Descriptive statistics
- Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test
- Wilcoxon signed rank test
- Permutation test
- U- Mann - Withney test
- Multidimensional scalling
- Test for fraction

Deletions




Duplications






Results - common duplications




Results - *de novo* CNVs

- deletions
422 (47 – 117)
- duplications
96 (7 – 28)
- 72 CNV - chr 1
55 - del, 17 - dup






Results - density of *de novo* deletions along the genome





Results - density of *de novo* duplications along the genome



Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals
- Most of inherited deletions/duplications - chromosome 11/5

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals
- Most of inherited deletions/duplications - chromosome 11/5
- No differences in numbers of CNV inherited from sow and boar

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals
- Most of inherited deletions/duplications - chromosome 11/5
- No differences in numbers of CNV inherited from sow and boar
- Fraction of *de novo* CNVs depends on animal in both deletions duplications

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals
- Most of inherited deletions/duplications - chromosome 11/5
- No differences in numbers of CNV inherited from sow and boar
- Fraction of *de novo* CNVs depends on animal in both deletions duplications
- *de novo* CNVs are not uniformly distributed

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals
- Most of inherited deletions/duplications - chromosome 11/5
- No differences in numbers of CNV inherited from sow and boar
- Fraction of *de novo* CNVs depends on animal in both deletions duplications
- *de novo* CNVs are not uniformly distributed
- Common duplications in all 12 pigs are related to the regulation of basic biological processes (metabolic and olfactory receptor activity, as well as G protein-coupled receptor signaling pathway)

Results & Conclusions:

- CNVs formed *de novo* account for 2%-15% of all CNVs
- deletions formed *de novo* are shorter than inherited
- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications
- full siblings are more similar each other in structure of CNVs than to other individuals
- Most of inherited deletions/duplications - chromosome 11/5
- No differences in numbers of CNV inherited from sow and boar
- Fraction of *de novo* CNVs depends on animal in both deletions duplications
- *de novo* CNVs are not uniformly distributed
- Common duplications in all 12 pigs are related to the regulation of basic biological processes (metabolic and olfactory receptor activity, as well as G protein-coupled receptor signaling pathway)
- Enrichment analysis - no significant results found for *de novo* CNVs


THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Any questions?






Results





Results



Results

The length of CNVs

- deletions: 600 - 195000 bp (average 6662 ± 13001.5)
- duplications: 1200 - 561400 bp (average 10036 ± 33438.1)

The percentage of genome covered



- deletions: 0.11% - 0.21%
- duplications: 0.26%-0.35%



Results

- Most of inherited deletions - chromosome 11
- Most of inherited duplications - chromosome 5
- No differences in numbers of CNV inherited from sow and boar
 - deletions $P = 0.84$
 - duplications $P = 0.41$

Results



Results

- Significantly less CNVs arise *de novo* than inherited ($P=0.008$ for deletions, 0.006 for duplications)
- Fraction of *de novo* CNVs depends on animal in both deletions ($P=0.007$) duplications ($P=0.004$)

	hog 1	hog 2	hog 3	hog 4	hog 5	hog 6
deletion	0.129	0.131	0.150	0.111	0.089	0.094
duplication	0.058	0.072	0.045	0.018	0.021	0.044

Results

- *De novo* deletions are shorter than inherited in all animals.

	hog 1	hog 2	hog 3	hog 4	hog 5	hog 6
P-value	$3.76 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.26 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6.49 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$0.13 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$0.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.18 \cdot 10^{-7}$

- no differences in length of *de novo* and inherited duplications

	deletions	duplications
variants	422	96
overlapped genes	268	81
intergenic variant	14%	21%
genic coding variants	4.2%	13%
others	81.8%	66%